

#### The US 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)

#### Alexander Skabardonis University of California, Berkeley

X CONGRESO INTERNACIONAL ITS





II SEMINARIO INTERNACIONAL DE PAVIMENTOS DE HORMIGÓN

www.congresodevialidad.org.ar



## **Presentation Outline**



- Overview of HCM Purpose History Concepts
- HCM 2010 Overview
   Organization
   Contents
   Key new Contents/Updates
- Looking Ahead
   2010 Update (In progress)



#### The Highway Capacity Manual

#### Purpose of HCM

"to provide a set of methodologies, and associated application procedures, for evaluating the multimodal performance of highway and street facilities in terms of operational measures and one or more quality of service indicators"

"HCM does not set policies regarding a desirable or appropriate quality of service..."

The Highway Capacity and Quality of Service (HCQS) Committee of the Transportation Research Board (TRB) oversees the development and maintenance of HCM



# **History of HCM**

- 1950 Original Manual (150 pages, basic ideas)
- 1965 Manual (Level of Service LOS Concept)
- 1985 Manual (Additional facilities, software)
- 1985 Manual Updates (1992, 1994, 1997)
- 2000 Manual (Corridors, Systems)
- 2010 Manual (Multimodal)



# **Basic Concepts: Capacity**

The capacity of a facility is the maximum sustainable hourly rate at which persons or vehicles <u>reasonably can be expected</u> to traverse a point or a uniform section of a lane or roadway during a given time period <u>under prevailing</u> roadway, traffic, and control conditions.

- The stated capacity for a given facility is a flow rate that can be achieved repeatedly for peak periods of sufficient demand. Stated capacity values can be achieved on facilities with similar characteristics throughout North America.
- There is influence from downstream traffic conditions, such as queues backing into the facility under evaluation
- Capacity is not the absolute maximum observed flow rate. The absolute maximum flow rate can vary from day to day and from location to location.



- Level of service (LOS) is a quality measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, generally in terms of such <u>service measures</u> as speed (travel time), freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, and comfort & convenience.
- Six LOS are defined for each type of facility that has analysis procedures available LOS A represents the best operating conditions LOS F the worst
- Each LOS represents <u>a range</u> of operating conditions and the <u>user's perception</u> of those conditions. Safety is not included in the measures that establish LOS



# **Organization of HCM2010**

• Over 1,000 pages (35 Chapters in 4 Volumes)

Volume 1 – Concepts

- Volume 2 Uninterrupted Flow Facilities
   Freeways, rural highways, rural roads
- Volume 3 Interrupted Flow Facilities
  - Urban arterials, intersections, roundabouts
  - Signals at freeway interchanges,
  - Bicycle and Pedestrian paths
- Volume 4 Supplemental Materials



## Web Site

#### 2010 Highway Capacity Manual

#### 5<sup>th</sup> Edition

TRB	HCQS	Publication						
Highway Capacity Volumes								
1. Concepts								
2. Uninterrupted Flow Facilities								
3. Interrupted Flow Facilities								
4. Applications	Guide							

#### **Updates**

- NEW Chapter 26 (Adopted): Interchange Ramp Terminals
- NEW Chapter X (Evaluation Copy): Local Urban Streets
- NEW NCHRP Report XXX: Analysis of Freeway Weaving Sections











- Chapter 1. HCM User's Guide
- **Chapter 2. Applications**
- **Chapter 3. Modal Characteristics**
- **Chapter 4. Traffic Flow & Capacity Concepts**
- Chapter 5. Quality and Level of Service Concepts
- Chapter 6. HCM & Alternative Analysis Tools

Chapter 7. Interpreting HCM & Alternative Tool Results

- **Chapter 8. HCM Primer (= Executive Summary)**
- Chapter 9. Glossary & Symbols



#### **Vol. 2 – Uninterrupted Flow Facilities**

- **10. Freeway Facilities**
- **11. Basic Freeway Segments**
- 12. Freeway Weaving Segments
- 13. Ramps and Ramp Junctions
- 14. Multilane Highways
- 15. Two-Lane Highways





## **Updated Freeway Speed-Flow Curves**

#### **Based on field data**





# **Freeway Weaving Segments**

- Analysis and weaving types based on the total rate of lane changing within the weave
- Models estimate capacity under ideal and prevailing conditions





# **Freeway Facility Analysis**

- Methodology for impacts analysis over time and space
- Modifications to reflect changes in other chapters
- Updated capacity information for:
  - Work Zones
  - Weather (rain, snow, wind, visibility)
  - Incidents



# **Analysis Over Time & Space**

D/C	SS 1	SS 2	SS 3	SS 4	SS 5	SS 6			
16:00	0.68	0.75	0.72	0.72	0.80	0.73			
16:30	0.75	0.85	0.82	0.82	0.93	0.82			
17:00	0.87	0.97	0.90	0.90	1.03	0.93			
17:30	0.82	0.90	0.85	0.85	0.98	0.85			
18:00	0.73	0.78	0.73	0.73	0.80	0.70			
18:30	0.58	0.62	0.58	0.58	0.62	0.60			
MPH									
16:00	63	62	63	63	61	62			
16:30	62	60	61	61	58	61			
17:00	60	57	59	29	41	58			
17:30	61	59	19	15	35	60			
18:00	62	62	62	27	48	63			
18:30	64	64	64	64	64	64			



# **FREEVAL Speed Output**



Segment Number



# **Two-Lane Highways**

- Two-way analysis methodology dropped
- Some revisions to curves and tables
- New road class added for built-up areas
  - LOS based on % free-flow speed (FDOT)
- Bicycle LOS on two-lane highways
- Service volume tables



# **Vol. 3 – Interrupted Flow Facilities**

- **16. Urban Street Facilities**
- **17. Urban Street Segments**
- **18. Signalized Intersections**
- **19. Two-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections**
- **20. All-Way Stop-Controlled Intersections**
- 21. Roundabouts
- 22. Interchange Ramp Terminals
- 23. Off-Street Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities

Transit chapter removed; methodology in Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual (TCQS)



## **Multimodal Level of Service**

- Simultaneous analysis of LOS for auto drivers, bus riders, bicyclists, pedestrians
- A method for allocating scarce street right-ofway to the various modal users of the street





Before



Mode	Before	After
Auto	С	D
Bus	В	С
Bicycle	F	D
Pedestrian	Е	Е





# **Signalized Intersection Updates**

Incremental queue analysis (IQA)



- Traffic actuated signals
  - Min. green, passage time, recall, dual entry, Dallas phasing, simultaneous gap out, detector length.







If left turn overflow occurs, review results

Volume/capacity ratio Check

• if: v/c > 1.00

Then the signalized intersection LOS is "F"



# **Urban Street Analysis**

- Predicts stops (new), speed, queues
- Estimates LOS based on travel speed
- Models signal coordination
  - force offs, yields
- Mixed street: signal, stops, roundabout
- Sensitive to access management
  - driveways, median breaks
- Service Volume Table



#### **Urban Street Service Volumes**

к	D	2-Lane Streets			6-Lane Streets			8-Lane Streets					
Factor	Factor	LOS	<mark>B LOS C</mark>	LOS D	LOS E	LOS	B LOS C	LOS D	LOS E	LOS E	LOS C	LOS D	LOS E
Posted Speed = 30 mi/h													
0.09	0.55	NA	5.9	15.4	19.9	NA	11.3	31.4	37.9	NA	16.3	46.4	54.3
	0.60	NA	5.4	14.1	18.3	NA	10.3	28.8	34.8	NA	15.0	42.5	49.8
0.10	0.55	NA	5.3	13.8	17.9	NA	10.1	28.2	34.1	NA	14.7	41.8	48.9
	0.60	NA	4.8	12.7	16.4	NA	9.3	25.9	31.3	NA	13.5	38.3	44.8
0.11	0.55	NA	4.8	12.6	16.3	NA	9.2	25.7	31.0	NA	13.4	38.0	44.5
	0.60	NA	4.4	11.5	14.9	NA	8.4	23.5	28.4	NA	12.2	34.8	40.8
Posted Speed = 45 mi/h													
0.09	0.55	NA	10.3	18.6	19.9	NA	21.4	37.2	37.9	NA	31.9	54.0	54.3
	0.60	NA	9.4	17.1	18.3	NA	19.6	34.1	34.8	NA	29.2	49.5	49.8
0.10	0.55	NA	9.3	16.8	17.9	NA	19.3	33.5	34.1	NA	28.7	48.6	48.9
	0.60	NA	8.5	15.4	16.4	NA	17.7	30.7	31.3	NA	26.3	44.5	44.8
0.11	0.55	NA	8.4	15.3	16.3	NA	17.5	30.5	31.0	NA	26.1	44.2	44.4
	0.60	NA	7.7	14.0	14.9	NA	16.1	27.9	28.4	NA	23.9	40.5	40.7

Notes: NA = not applicable, LOS cannot be achieved with the stated assumptions.

General assumptions include: no roundabouts or all-way stop-controlled intersections along the facility; coordinated, semi-actuated traffic signals; arrival type 4; 120-s cycle time; protected left-turn phases; 0.45 weighted average g/C ratio; exclusive left-turn lanes with adequate queue storage provided at traffic signals; no exclusive right-turn lanes provided; no restrictive median; 2-mi facility length; 10% of traffic turns left and 10% turns right at each traffic signal; PHF = 0.92; base saturation flow rate = 1,900 pc/h/ln. Additional assumptions for 30-mi/h facilities: signal spacing = 1,050 ft and 20 access points/mi. Additional assumptions for 45-mi/h facilities: signal spacing = 1,500 ft and 10 access points/mi.



# **Interchange Ramp Terminals**

- New Method estimates LOS for each O-D in an interchange
- LOS based on delay, queue storage ratio, v/c
- Method considers
  - Queue spillbacks
  - Uneven lane utilization
  - Demand starvation





# **Roundabouts Update**

- Capacity and delay by approach
- Single and multi-lane roundabouts
- US Capacities lower in the world
- Research based on US field data
- LOS based on delay





# **Stop Control Intersections Updates**

#### Two Way

- Extended to 6-lane arterials.
- U-turns
- Analysis of shared lanes, short lanes
- Pedestrian crossings analysis

### All Way

- Queuing model added
- Explicit guidance for 6-lane streets



# Vol. 1-Chapter 6: HCM and Alternative Tools

- Planning Methods Based on the HCM
- Alternative Methods
   (Microsimulation)
  - Traffic modeling concepts
  - Application guide
  - Framework to apply HCM
     + microsimulation
  - Comparison of performance measures
  - Selection of traffic models





Vol. 1- Chapter 7 Interpreting Results

- Uncertainty and Variability
  - Concepts, Sources, Sensitivity Analysis
  - Uncertainty and Sensitivity of HCM results
- Comparing HCM and Microsimulation Results
  - Framework for comparing HCM/microsimulation results
  - Specific guidance provided in facility specific chapters
- Presentation of HCM/Microsimulation Results
  - Significant digits for reporting





# Vol. 4 – Supplemental Materials

- Target Audience: Engineers and programmers
- 12 chapters, <u>all electronic</u>, on the web
  - More detailed descriptions of methods
  - Worked example problems
- Annotated software source code
- Technical reference library
- HCM Application Guide



# HCM2010 Software

- Availability
  - Source code available to all
  - Illustrates how to program the methods
  - Can be used to verify commercial software

#### Does not compete with commercial software

- Very limited user interface
- Works only for simple and limited example problems

#### Congress Argentino de Vialidad y Tránsito The 2010 HCM Update (In Progress)

#### **Need for Update:**

Several research efforts have developed material for the HCM:

- Incorporation of Travel Time Reliability into the HCM
- Analysis of Managed Lanes on Freeway Facilities
- Traffic Signal Analysis with Varying Demands and Capacities
- Methodologies to Assess Advanced Transportation & Demand Management (ATDM) Strategies
- Guidelines on the Use of Auxiliary Through Lanes at Signalized Intersections
- Evaluating the Performance of Corridors with Roundabouts
- New Work Zone Capacity Methods
- Incorporating Truck Analysis into the HCM



# **User Survey Results**

- Contacted Well Over 10,000 HCM 2010 Users
  - Received Over 1,000 Detailed Survey Responses
- Confirmed HCM 2010 Used as Intended and Expected
   With Over 70% Using the HCM 2010 at Least Monthly
- Learned Users Still Finding Their Way in HCM 2010
  - Only 40% Multimodal Use
  - Only 60% Volume Four Use
- Underscores HCM 2010 Update Most Appropriate
   Not Ready for an Entirely Redeveloped Manual



## HCM2010 Update Outline: Practitioner-focused

- Focuses on what users need to know to apply the operations methods
  - More, but shorter sections; same basic flow of information as HCM 2010
  - Applications material moved to Other Publications (HCM Applications Guide)
  - Added detail on data needs, performance measures, and interpretation of results
  - Chapters have a consistent structure (including those with no change to the method)
- Designed to provide information most needed by HCM users, particularly those applying the HCM with software



Active Transportation and Demand Management (ATDM)

 ATDM is a comprehensive approach to optimizing the operational performance of the roadway system through monitoring and control of systems operations and demands.

#### Examples

 Demand Metering, Congestion Pricing, Managed Lanes, Adaptive Control, Speed Harmonization, Traveler Information Systems, Incident Management, Work Zone Management



# **ATDM Examples**









## **The ATDM Analysis Method**





#### **Reliability Performance Measures**





# **Reliability Analysis (1)**





# **Reliability Analysis (2)**





# Acknowledgments

Lily Elefteriadou

University of Florida elefter@ce.ufl.edu

#### Richard Dowling

Kittelson Associates, Oakland, CA

rdowling@kittelson.com

#### John Zeeger

Kittelson Associates, Faurt Launderdale, FL Jzeeger@kittelson.com



# **Questions/Comments**

#### Alexander Skabardonis

University of California, Berkeley Institute of Transportation Studies 109 McLaughlin Hall Berkeley, CA 94720-1720 +1-510-642-9166 skabardonis@ce.berkeley.edu